

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/818,567	FURUYA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ellen C. Tran	2134	

All Participants:

(1) Ellen C. Tran.

(2) Carl I. Brundidge.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 August 2006

Time: 3:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

Claims 9, 21, and 33

Prior art documents discussed:

No

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for an Examiner's Amendment to correct minor deficiencies within the claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JACQUES LOUIS-JACQUES
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)